

LEO BAECK INSTITUTE

NEW YORK | BERLIN

BETWEEN REVOLUTION AND REACTION

GERMAN-SPEAKING JEWS
AND THE IDEAS, POLITICS, AND
CULTURES OF THE RIGHT

OCTOBER 25-27, 2026

Center for Jewish History
15 West 16th Street
New York NY 10011

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

Darcy Buerkle (Smith College)
Robin Judd (Ohio State University)
Paul Lerner (University of Southern California)
Philipp Nielsen (University of Groningen)
David Brown, Director of Public History, LBI
Markus Krah, John H. Slade Executive Director, LBI
Frank Mecklenburg,
Mark & Lottie Salton Senior Historian, LBI
Magdalena Wrobel,
Associate Director of Public History, LBI

THE LEO BAECK INSTITUTE – NEW YORK | BERLIN

is a research library and archive focused on the history of German-speaking Jews. Its extensive library, archival, and art collections comprise one of the most significant repositories of primary source material and scholarship on the centuries of Jewish life in Central Europe before the Holocaust.



CENTER FOR JEWISH HISTORY



Members of a German-Jewish dueling fraternity in the 1920s.
Leo Baeck Institute Archives, Kartell-Convent deutscher Studenten
Juedischen Glaubens Collection, AR 966.

BETWEEN REVOLUTION AND REACTION

GERMAN-SPEAKING JEWS AND THE IDEAS, POLITICS,
AND CULTURES OF THE RIGHT

OCTOBER 25-27, 2026

Center for Jewish History
15 West 16th Street
New York NY 10011

DAY 1

SUNDAY, OCTOBER 25, 2026

Kovno Room, Center for Jewish History

1:00–5:00 PM — JUNIOR SCHOLARS WORKSHOP

With presentations by Margarita Lerman (Jerusalem), Rosa Reicher (Frankfurt/Main), Sibel Tayçimen (Frankfurt/Oder), and Lieven Wölk (Berlin), led by Philipp Nielsen (Groningen)

5:00–6:30 PM — WELCOME RECEPTION FOR CONFERENCE

PARTICIPANTS AND GRADUATE STUDENTS

Drinks and a light dinner.

Cosponsored by the Center for Jewish History

6:30–8:00 PM — CONFERENCE KEYNOTE

THE SECOND ANNUAL EVA REICHMANN LECTURE

Sponsored by Hans & Berthold Finkelstein Stiftung
“CONSERVATISM, REACTION, AND MESSIANISM”

By Mark Lilla, Columbia University
Forchheimer Auditorium

Mark Lilla, Professor of Humanities at Columbia University, will open the conference by delivering the second annual Eva Reichmann Lecture. In this public keynote, he will trace an intellectual genealogy of conservative and reactionary thought among German-Jewish thinkers who attributed the problems of modern society to a break in the history of ideas and promoted a return to earlier modes of thought.

The Eva Reichmann Lecture is open to the public. Registration at lbi.org/reichmann2026. Academic faculty, students, and independent scholars are welcome to attend the conference. Please register by emailing lbiconference2026@lbi.org.

Followed by light refreshments at a public reception.

DAY 2

MONDAY, OCTOBER 26, 2026

Kovno Room

9:00 AM–9:15 AM

WELCOME & INTRODUCTORY REMARKS: PHILIPP NIELSEN

9:15 AM–10:45 AM

PANEL 1: ORTHODOXY, THE GERMAN RIGHT, AND THE STATE

CHAIR: ROBIN JUDD

Philipp Lenhard (Vienna) The 1848 Revolution and the Failed Plan for a Jewish Concordat

Johannes Czakai (Jerusalem) Machinations of Prussian Reactionary Politics: The Secret Informer Joel Jacoby and the Geiger-Tiktin Controversy

Itamar Ben Ami (Utrecht) A Total Form of Life: The Making of Ultra-Orthodox Counterculture in Interwar Germany

10:45–11:00 AM — COFFEE BREAK

11:00 AM–12:30 PM

PANEL 2: JEWISH RESPONSES TO ANTISEMITISM AND THE RIGHT

CHAIR: DARCY BUERKLE

Margarete Tiessen (Cologne) Between Jewish Identity and German Nationalism: The *Deutsche Rundschau*, 1874–1914

Margarita Lerman (Jerusalem/Leipzig) Respectability under Suspicion: Jewish Responses to Discourses on Jewish Crime

AJ Solovy (Vassar) “The Spirit of Yesterday:” German- and Austrian-Jewish Interpretations of the Extreme Right after 1945

12:30–1:15 PM — LUNCH

1:15–2:15 PM

PANEL 3: NAVIGATING THE REPUBLICS

CHAIR: PAUL LERNER

Christian Dietrich (Frankfurt/Oder) “Almost Conservative” Conceptions of Order, Belonging, and the Uneasy Relationship of the Centralverein with Social Democracy (1918–1924)

Rachel Blumenthal (Jerusalem) Jews and the Authoritarian Right in Interwar Austria

DAY 2

CONTINUED

2:15–2:30 PM — COFFEE BREAK

2:30–3:30 PM

PANEL 4: JEWISH AND OTHER NATIONALISMS

CHAIR: GAVRIEL D. ROSENFELD

Adi Gordon (Amherst) The “Good Jews” of the *Völkisch* Movement: What Were They Good For?

Viktoria Pötzl (Grinnell) The Theater of Misogyny, Nationalism, and Colonialism: A Discussion of Max Nordau’s Plays

3:30–3:45 PM — COFFEE BREAK

3:45–6:00 PM

PANEL 5: BETWEEN AFFINITY AND ANTIPATHY:
JEWISH THINKERS AND THE RIGHT

CHAIR: ADI GORDON

Ghila Amati (Jerusalem) War, Life, and Jewish Destiny: Rereading Martin Buber’s Wartime Writings

Michael A. Rosenthal (Toronto) Did Martin Buber Have a Conscience? The Argument with Carl Schmitt

Agata Mirecka (Krakow) National Humanism and Conservative Cultural Critique: Literary Conceptions of Political Order in Max Brod and Robert Weltsch

Rosa Reicher (Frankfurt/Main) Gershom Scholem and Conservative Discourse: A Critical Analysis of His Engagement with Tradition and the Right-Wing Movement

6:00 PM

CONFERENCE DINNER BY INVITATION.
DIETARY LAWS OBSERVED.

DAY 3

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2026

Kovno Room

9:00–10:30 AM

PANEL 6: AT THE CROSSROADS: GERMAN-JEWISH
CONSERVATIVES AFTER 1933

CHAIR: MARION KAPLAN

Sibel Tayçimen (Frankfurt/Oder) Between Belonging and Exclusion: German-Jewish Engagement with Nationalism in the Early Years of Nazi Rule

Lieven Wölk (Berlin) Belonging without Regret? German Patriotism of Jewish Youth in History and Memory: The Case of the Schwarzes Fähnlein (1932–1934)

Harry Handler (New York) The Price of Status: Uncomfortable Truths of the Weimar Era

10:30–10:45 AM — COFFEE BREAK

10:45 AM–12:15 PM

PANEL 7: OLD POSITIONS IN A NEW ORDER?
JEWS AND THE RIGHT AFTER 1945

CHAIR: JULIA KORNBERG

Abraham Rubin (Dayton) Hermann Borchardt in America: The Cold War Conservatism of a Converted Communist

Gustavo Guzmán (Berlin/New York) *Yekkes* for Pinochet: Right-Wing German-Speaking Jews in Cold War Chile (1947–1989)

Fabian Weber (Sussex) Jewish Wit and Jewish Conservatism: Salcia Landmann: A Portrait in Paradox

12:15–1:00 PM

LUNCH & CLOSING DISCUSSION:
PHILIPP NIELSEN & THE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

CONFERENCE CONCLUDES AT 1:00 PM

PARTICIPANTS

GHILA AMATI is Golda Meir Postdoctoral Fellow at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

ITAMAR BEN AMI is Assistant Professor in the Department of Philosophy and Religious Studies at Utrecht University.

RACHEL BLUMENTHAL is a fellow at the Avraham Harman Institute of Contemporary Jewry, the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

DAVID BROWN is Director of Public History at the Leo Baeck Institute – New York | Berlin.

DARCY BUERKLE is Professor of History at Smith College.

JOHANNES CZAKAI is a postdoctoral fellow at the Martin Buber Society of Fellows at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

CHRISTIAN DIETRICH is Privatdozent at the Axel Springer Chair for German-Jewish Literature and Cultural History, Exile and Migration at Europa-Universität Viadrina, Frankfurt/Oder.

ADI GORDON is Professor of History at Amherst College.

GUSTAVO GUZMÁN is Leon Levy CJH Fellow at the CSA-NYU Center for the Study of Antisemitism.

HARRY HANDLER is a researcher and lecturer specializing in the rise of authoritarianism and 20th-century global conflicts.

ROBIN JUDD is College of Arts and Sciences Distinguished Professor at Ohio State University.

MARION KAPLAN is Skirball Professor of Modern Jewish History Emerita at New York University.

JULIA KORNBERG is a *Jewish Review of Books* Fellow for Criticism & Public Scholarship at the Center for Jewish History.

MARKUS KRAH is John H. Slade Executive Director at the Leo Baeck Institute – New York | Berlin.

PHILIPP LENHARD is Assistant Professor of Jewish History at the University of Vienna and academic director of the Institute of Jewish History in Austria.

MARGARITA LERMAN is a PhD student in the Department of Jewish History and Contemporary Jewry at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and an Affiliated Researcher at the Leibniz Institute for Jewish History and Culture – Simon Dubnow.

PAUL LERNER is Professor of History at the University of Southern California.

FRANK MECKLENBURG is Mark & Lottie Salton Senior Historian at the Leo Baeck Institute – New York | Berlin.

AGATA MIRECKA is Associate Professor at the University of the National Education Commission (UKEN) in Krakow, Institute of Modern Languages.

PHILIPP NIELSEN is Assistant Professor of Contemporary History at University of Groningen.

VIKTORIA PÖTZL is Associate Professor of German-Jewish Studies at Grinnell College.

ROSA REICHER is a PhD candidate at University of Frankfurt, Buber-Rosenzweig Institute.

GAVRIEL D. ROSENFELD is President of the Center for Jewish History and Professor of History at Fairfield University.

MICHAEL A. ROSENTHAL is the Grafstein Professor of Jewish Philosophy at the University of Toronto.

ABRAHAM RUBIN is Assistant Professor of Jewish Studies at the University of Dayton.

AJ SOLOVY is Assistant Professor of History at Vassar College.

SIBEL TAYÇIMEN is a PhD candidate at Europa-Universität Viadrina, Frankfurt (Oder).

MARGARETE TIESSEN is a political adviser and policy strategist at the German Research Foundation (DFG) in Bonn, Germany.

FABIAN WEBER is DAAD-Lecturer for History at the University of Sussex and the Weidenfeld Institute of Jewish Studies.

LIEVEN WÖLK is a PhD candidate at the Humboldt University, Berlin.

MAGDALENA WROBEL is Associate Director of Public History at the Leo Baeck Institute – New York | Berlin.

PRESENTATIONS

GHILA AMATI

War, Life, and Jewish Destiny: Rereading Martin Buber's Wartime Writings

This presentation reexamines Martin Buber's First World War writings as a coherent expression of *Lebensphilosophie* rather than a temporary deviation before *I and Thou*. Situating Buber within a constellation shaped by the philosophy of life of Simmel, Bergson, Nietzsche, and Dilthey, it shows how he interpreted war through kinesis, *Erlebnis*, temporal rupture, and organic unity—as a regenerative event revealing life's creative, unconditioned forces. It argues that Buber radicalized *Lebensphilosophie* by joining it to a specifically Jewish vision of communal renewal and historical vocation. Comparisons with Simmel and Bergson illuminate both the generative possibilities and internal tensions of this wartime “Kriegsbuber” phase. The presentation ultimately reframes Buber's later dialogical turn as an ethical reconfiguration of *Lebensphilosophie* while exposing the right-leaning, anti-liberal dimensions of his wartime thought.

ITAMAR BEN AMI

A Total Form of Life: The Making of Ultra-Orthodox Counterculture in Interwar Germany

This paper argues that interwar Germany saw a transformation in ultra-Orthodox self-understanding. Younger circles associated with Agudath Israel increasingly moved away from understanding Orthodoxy primarily as a halakhic and communal framework seeking to preserve itself within, or on the margins of, modern society. Instead, they began to conceive of it as a comprehensive culture—one that rivaled the liberal order and aspired to provide an alternative form of life in its entirety. Through new genres of *Weltanschauung*, a language of cultural crisis, and an ideal of Orthodox action, they recast the loss of Jewish totality as a conservative-revolutionary project aimed at reconstituting it. By examining German Orthodox discourse, the paper traces one layer in the genealogy of the ultra-Orthodox subjectification—a layer that would later come to appear as constitutive of ultra-Orthodoxy itself.

RACHEL BLUMENTHAL

Jews and the Authoritarian Right in Interwar Austria

In March 1933, Chancellor Engelbert Dollfuss dismantled Austria's First Republic and established a fascist regime, presenting it as a Catholic, anti-Marxist alternative to parliamentary democracy. Although the 1934 constitution formally guaranteed equality for all, Jews were increasingly relegated to the status of a legal minority and excluded from public life. Nevertheless, much of Austrian Jewry, including its communal leadership, continued to support the regime. This paper examines the reasons for that support. By treating Austrian Jews as political actors rather than merely as objects of right-wing ideology, it argues that social position and political priorities fostered a strategy of accommodation that overlooked antisemitic rhetoric and actions and rested on misplaced confidence in the state's willingness and ability to protect them.

JOHANNES CZAKAI

Machinations of Prussian Reactionary Politics: The Secret Informer Joel Jacoby and the Geiger-Tiktin Controversy

My paper examines the role of Joel Jacoby (1811–1863) within the machinery of political oppression in nineteenth-century Prussia. Transitioning from Judaism to Catholicism, Jacoby evolved from a liberal poet and target of persecution into a conservative hardliner and one of the state's key press censors. As such, he is a prime example of those Jewish intellectuals of the Emancipation era who did not choose a liberal path, but rather emerged as reactionary agitators with right-wing agendas. The political intrigue and paranoia of this era are brought to light by Jacoby's alleged involvement in the Geiger-Tiktin controversy, a watershed moment in the history of the Jewish Reform movement. Ultimately, the paper argues that Jacoby acted as a crucial hinge between Jewish anti-modernism and state restoration.

CHRISTIAN DIETRICH

Conceptions of Order, Belonging, and the Uneasy Relationship of the Centralverein with Social Democracy (1918–1924)

When the Centralverein deutscher Staatsbürger jüdischen Glaubens (CV) was founded in 1893, it also defined itself in opposition to Social Democracy. This rejection outlasted the Empire. In 1919, the CV's

Syndikus, Ludwig Holländer, characterized German Jews, in their political character, as “almost conservative.” With the Revolution, however, Social Democracy had become a governing party and a pillar of the new state, and the CV was compelled to redefine its stance toward it.

My contribution reconstructs the political positions the Centralverein staked out after the First World War. The debates over the immigration of Eastern European Jews show how the CV’s leaders drew closer to the governing Social Democrats in their conceptions of order and security, while in their markers of belonging they simultaneously came close to the right-wing Verband nationaldeutscher Juden. Building on this observation, I ask whether the CV’s defense work (*Abwehrarbeit*) in the early years of Weimar was shaped far more by belonging, order, and security than by the kind of solidarity that defending the ‘Ostjuden’ would equally have required.

ADI GORDON

The “Good Jews” of the *Völkisch* Movement: What Were They Good For?

The right-wing *Völkisch* Movement was notoriously antisemitic, and its activists frequently articulated what Saul Friedlaender called “Redemptive Antisemitism,” whose vision of German Renewal was reliant on the demise of the Jews. And yet, a closer inspection of that movement shows a confounding degree of ambivalence toward Jews and Jewishness. The *völkisch* religious and education reformer, Wilhelm Schwaner, for example, gradually adopted an anti-antisemitic position. The early work of *völkisch* poet Börries von Münchhausen, to provide a different example, fits the category of literary philosemitism. Yet a much broader manifestation of ambivalence regarding Jews is the distinction made in *völkisch* writing between “Good Jews” and “Bad Jews.” This paper will analyze a variety of such examples from Imperial Germany, focusing on the different *völkisch* functions of the ostensibly exceptional “Good Jew.” In conclusion, the paper will speculate as to the relevance of such examples to parallel gestures on the contemporary far right.

GUSTAVO GUZMÁN

***Yekkes* for Pinochet: Right-Wing German-Speaking Jews in Cold War Chile (1947–1989)**

This paper examines the political trajectories of German-speaking Jewish refugees in Chile and their support for Augusto Pinochet’s dictatorship, with a special focus on the experiences of Holocaust survivors. I explore how anti-Communism, class anxieties, and German-Jewish historical memory shaped conservative political identities during the Cold War.

Drawing on archival research conducted in Chile, Germany, Israel, and the United States, I argue that German-speaking Jews (*Yekkes*) expressed stronger support for General Pinochet than other sectors of Chilean Jewry, including Yiddish-speaking, Sephardi, and even the markedly anti-Communist Hungarian Jews. The presentation highlights how experiences of persecution, exile, and social mobility could lead some Holocaust survivors to embrace authoritarian politics.

HARRY HANDLER

The Price of Status: Uncomfortable Truths of the Weimar Era

This paper explores an often-overlooked chapter of German-Jewish history: the elite Jewish professionals who supported conservative and Nazi politicians to protect their societal standing. To maintain their positions in the art world, scientific community, and judiciary, prominent Jews such as Berlin Museum Director Max Friedlander took on leading Nazi clients; biologist Otto Warburg ran the Kaiser Wilhelm Scientific Institute, and Berlin lawyers founded the Association of National German Jews, a Jewish organization that asked supporters to vote for Hitler.

Using contemporaneous writings and wartime reflections, this paper illustrates how German Jews’ political affiliations were often guided by social class, profession, and ancestry. Their Weimar and wartime collaboration was minimized post-war as they sought to rehabilitate their careers.

PHILIPP LENHARD

The 1848 Revolution and the Failed Plan for a Jewish Concordat

In Vienna in particular, numerous Jews were prominently represented in the revolutionary movement of 1848, including Adolf Fischhof, Hermann Jellinek, and Ludwig August Frankl, with the result that the Jewish community was often hastily equated with progressive and liberal ideas. However, the political stance within the Jewish community was significantly more complex and ambivalent. The prospect of legal equality and social recognition was countered by fears of anti-Jewish violence and the destruction of the traditional order. Using the example of the Jewish banker and politician Ignaz Deutsch (1808–1881), the paper shows that some Jewish critics even went so far as to ally themselves with the state power against the revolutionaries.

MARGARITA LERMAN

**Respectability under Suspicion:
Jewish Responses to Discourses on Jewish Crime**

This paper examines how German-speaking Jewish bourgeois actors responded to narratives associating Jews with crime and moral deviance around 1900. Confronted with exclusionary claims linking moral purity to imperial belonging, many countered anti-Jewish accusations through appeals to statistical evidence. Amid the rise of modern criminology and new classificatory approaches to criminality, knowledge production became both an arena of contestation and a means of confronting crisis. This dual role is evident in the tension within German-speaking Jewry between defending communal respectability through statistical rebuttals—appropriating the very methods used to reproach them—and pursuing reformist efforts to address precarious social conditions.

AGATA MIRECKA

**National Humanism and Conservative Cultural
Critique: Literary Conceptions of Political Order in
Max Brod and Robert Weltsch**

This presentation examines selected literary and journalistic works by Max Brod and Robert Weltsch, focusing on their concepts of nation, community, and cultural renewal. It explores Brod's idea of national humanism as an attempt to reconcile ethical universalism with Jewish national identity and analyzes Weltsch's critique of assimilation and liberal cosmopolitanism. By situating both authors within the intellectual context of late Habsburg and interwar Central Europe, the presentation argues that their writings represent a distinctive form of Jewish conservative cultural critique. The paper also challenges the widespread historiographical tendency to associate German-speaking Jewish thought primarily with liberal or left-wing political traditions.

VIKTORIA PÖTZL

**The Theater of Misogyny, Nationalism, and Colonialism:
A Discussion of Max Nordau's Plays**

Although the influence of Max Nordau on Theodor Herzl has been thoroughly researched, an examination of Nordau's theater texts is essential for understanding the nuances of Zionism and its interplay with colonialism, racism, and misogyny. In my presentation, I analyze *Das Recht zu lieben* (*The Right to Love*, 1893), paying particular attention to its misogyny and how it connects to Nordau's version of Jewish

nationalism. My analysis of *Doktor Kohn* (1898), labeled a "Zionist drama" by Theodor Herzl in 1899, considers Hans-Peter Söder's (1995) assertion that the play's "moral and didactic message is surprisingly ambiguous" and that it cannot be classified solely as Zionist theater.

ROSA REICHER

**Gershom Scholem and Conservative Discourse:
A Critical Analysis of His Engagement with Tradition
and the Right-Wing Movement**

This paper critically examines Gershom Scholem's engagement with conservative discourse, especially his ambivalent relation to tradition, authority, and movement. Scholem valued conservative thought for preserving Jewish cultural and religious continuity, including the transmission of mystical knowledge, yet warned against rigidity, dogmatism, and resistance to historical change. His scholarship shows how even radical mystical movements could contain conservative elements through their reverence for inherited forms and authority. Shaped by a conservative milieu, Scholem questioned tradition's limits while analyzing its intellectual force. The paper asks how this engagement informed his understanding of German Jewry, antisemitism, and the tension between tradition and modernity in the early twentieth century. It argues that Scholem's dialogue with conservatism reveals tradition as both a resource and a problem.

MICHAEL A. ROSENTHAL

**Did Martin Buber Have a Conscience?
The Argument with Carl Schmitt**

Martin Buber's *Kingship of God* (1932) is a text born of two radically opposed and unnamed influences: the religiously tinged anarchism of Gustav Landauer and the authoritarianism of Carl Schmitt. Buber sought to defend what he understood as the core ethical demand of Landauer's anarchism against Schmitt's conservative and statist view through his dialogical interpretation of biblical theocracy. However, despite the apparent opposition, Buber partially agrees with Schmitt on one crucial point: they both dismiss the notion of a liberal subject with a moral conscience. Thus, the main question of this paper arises: how can Buber, in terms of his dialogical and communitarian philosophy, avoid the lures of conservatism and justify resistance to tyranny?

ABRAHAM RUBIN

Hermann Borchardt in America: The Cold War Conservatism of a Converted Communist

This paper explores the ideological transformations and literary legacy of forgotten émigré writer Hermann Borchardt (1888-1951), who fled Nazi Germany and resettled in New York in 1937. A member of the German Communist Party in the 1920s, and a concentration camp survivor of Jewish origins, Borchardt surprised many in the émigré community when he embarked on a new path as a political reactionary and staunch anti-Communist, cementing his ideological bona fides by converting to Catholicism in the last years of his life. The talk reconstructs Borchardt's ideological trajectory from leftwing Weimar intellectual to Cold War Christian conservative. Focusing on the author's anti-Communism, conspiratorial antisemitism, and Christian nationalism, I unpack the cultural logic of these overlapping and mutually affirming discourses.

AJ SOLOVY

"The Spirit of Yesterday:" German- and Austrian-Jewish Interpretations of the Extreme Right after 1945

This paper examines how German and Austrian Jews responded to the proliferation of Nazi civic associations in the 1950s. It argues that in calling for an injunction against the incorporation of SS veteran organizations, Jewish critics arrived at a definition of democracy that stood at odds with that advanced by the West German and Austrian states. Whereas both governments only curtailed former Nazis engaged in antidemocratic political activities, Jewish critics argued that supposedly "apolitical" fascist cultural and civic movements should also be regarded as incompatible with democracy. Ultimately, the paper concludes, the presence of ex-Nazis in postwar civil society limited Jews' experiences of belonging and safety, while also prompting them to reconsider the very definition of "post-fascist" democracy.

SIBEL TAYÇIMEN

Between Belonging and Exclusion: German-Jewish Engagement with Nationalism in the Early Years of Nazi Rule

In the early years of National Socialist rule, German-Jewish intellectuals used the press and literature to negotiate questions of belonging, identity, and the future of Jewish life in Germany. This presentation examines articles by Zionist editor Robert Weltsch in the *Jüdische Rundschau* alongside Max Samter's narrative *Die Versuchung* (1934). Both authors responded to the political transformations set in motion in 1933 by reflecting on how German Jews might assert their identity under Nazi rule.

While Weltsch called for a stronger sense of Jewish collective identity and engaged ambivalently with nationalist ideas, Samter's narrative presents exclusion as a divine trial culminating in the protagonist's affirmation of his Jewish identity. Taken together, these texts reveal the complexity of German-Jewish self-assertion under Nazi rule.

MARGARETE TIESSEN

Between Jewish Identity and German Nationalism: The *Deutsche Rundschau*, 1874-1914

Through the prism of Julius Rodenberg's journal *Deutsche Rundschau*—a leading forum of cultural and political debate in the German Empire—the paper examines how nationalist, conservative Jewish liberals addressed the rise of antisemitism and the question of Jewish integration. As a response to antisemitic exclusion, the *Deutsche Rundschau* emphasized cultural assimilation and national belonging over political emancipation. It thus offers a revealing case of how conservative Jewish intellectuals navigated the tensions between Jewish identity and German nationalism in the Kaiserreich.

FABIAN WEBER

Jewish Wit and Jewish Conservatism: Salcia Landmann—A Portrait in Paradox

Best known for her bestselling 1960 anthology *Der jüdische Witz*, Salcia Landmann (1911–2002) led a largely hidden political double life. As a self-described conservative, she contributed regularly to far-right publications, attempting to steer their political agendas in a pro-Jewish and pro-Zionist direction. This presentation uses Landmann as a primary case study to investigate the broader phenomenon of Jews on the Right. It asks whether Landmann represents an isolated anomaly or a paradigmatic archetype of right-wing Jews who were strategically instrumentalized by far-right endeavors. The paper analyzes the complex interplay of political appropriation and individual Jewish agency. Ultimately, it asks how Landmann and similar actors can be categorized within modern Jewish history.

LIEVEN WÖLK

Belonging without Regret? German Patriotism of Jewish Youth in History and Memory: The Case of the Schwarzes Fähnlein (1932–1934)

Around one thousand Jewish youth (male and female) of the Schwarzes Fähnlein claimed to be German first and Jewish second, taking a patriotic stance in late Weimar and early National-Socialism. This fraction of

the former Kameraden movement represented a broader trend of young Germans from the *Bünde* (fellowships) turning to the political Right. In the case of the Jewish youth, their belonging was always contested.

Analyzing contemporary publications on the relation of Jews and the German *Volk* (people) by various authors and problematizing the process of historiography plus archival practice neglecting, misrepresenting, or distorting the Jewish right-wingers' past, it will be shown how members of the Schwarzes Fähnlein substantiated, defended, and rediscovered their patriotic belonging as part of German-Jewish history before and after catastrophe.

THE HANS AND BERTHOLD FINKELSTEIN FOUNDATION

supports research and remembrance projects related to the crimes committed during the National Socialist era—with a particular focus on forced labor under the Nazi regime and I.G. Farbenindustrie AG, known as I.G. Farben. It develops programs designed to foster a corporate culture shaped by historical and ethical responsibility, contemporary leadership, and democratic action. Through dialogue-oriented projects in Europe, Israel, and the United States, it works to strengthen resilience against hatred and discrimination—today and for the future. The foundation was established by Bayer AG in 2023.



Hans und Berthold
Finkelstein Stiftung

THE EVA REICHMANN LECTURE

The Finkelstein Foundation established the annual Eva Reichmann Lecture to honor the life, work, and legacy of historian and sociologist Eva Reichmann. During the Weimar Republic and the National Socialist regime, Reichmann advocated for Jewish civil rights through her work with the Centralverein, and after her emigration to the United Kingdom, she dedicated herself to the study of antisemitism and the collapse of democracy in Germany. She was instrumental in establishing the Wiener Library in London as one of the earliest and most comprehensive sites of documentation of Jewish persecution under National Socialism. The inaugural Reichmann Lecture was held in 2025 at the Wiener Library in partnership with Leo Baeck Institute London.



**LEO BAECK INSTITUTE –
NEW YORK | BERLIN**
for the Study of German-Jewish
History and Culture